

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

HAROLD D. HARDEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

CORRECTIONAL OFFICER SOBORO et
al.,

Defendants.

2:14-cv-560-JAD-NJK

ORDER

I. DISCUSSION

On May 23, 2014, this Court entered a screening order permitting Count I of the complaint to proceed. (ECF No. 8 at 5). This Court stayed the case for 90 days to give the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute. (*Id.*). This Court ordered that, during the stay, “no other pleadings or papers shall be filed in this case.” (*Id.*).

On June 9, 2014, Plaintiff filed motions for appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 10, 11). On June 13, 2014, defendants filed a motion to strike the appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 14). On June 16, 2014, this Court entered an order denying Plaintiff’s motions for appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 16).

The Court now denies the motion to strike the motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 14) as moot.

///

///

///

1 **II. CONCLUSION**

2 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion to strike the motion for
3 appointment of counsel (ECF No. 14) is DENIED as MOOT.

4
5 DATED: This 30th day of June, 2014.

6
7 
8 _____
9 NANCY J. KOPPE
10 United States Magistrate Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28